

**Prosthodontists no more A labourer: 3D printing in prosthodontics**

<sup>1</sup>Dr. Shreya Aggarwal, PG Resident, Department of Maxillofacial Prosthodontics & Crown & Bridge, PDM Dental College & Research Institute, Haryana

<sup>2</sup>Dr. Jitesh Khandelwal, PG Resident, Department of Maxillofacial Prosthodontics & Crown & Bridge, PDM Dental College & Research Institute, Haryana

<sup>3</sup>Dr. Amrita Sharma, PG Resident, Department of Conservative & Endodontics, PDM Dental College & Research Institute, Haryana

<sup>4</sup>Dr. Sachin, MDS, Department of Orthodontics & Dentofacial Orthopedics, IMS, BHU

<sup>5</sup>Dr. Rakesh Kumar, BDS, PGIDS, Rohtak

**Corresponding Author:** Dr. Shreya Aggarwal, PG Resident, Department of Maxillofacial Prosthodontics & Crown & Bridge, PDM Dental College & Research Institute, Haryana.

**Citation of this Article:** Dr. Shreya Aggarwal, Dr. Jitesh Khandelwal, Dr. Amrita Sharma, Dr. Sachin, Dr. Rakesh Kumar, “Prosthodontists no more A labourer: 3D printing in prosthodontics”, IJDSIR- January – 2026, Volume – 9, Issue – 1, P. No. 105 – 111.

**Copyright:** © 2026, Dr. Shreya Aggarwal, et al. This is an open access journal and article distributed under the terms of the creative common’s attribution non-commercial License. Which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given, and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

**Type of Publication:** Original Research Article

**Conflicts of Interest:** Nil

**Abstract**

**Background:** Traditional prosthodontics relied heavily on manual craftsmanship, making the prosthodontist a skilled laborer. The advent of 3D printing has introduced a paradigm shift, enabling precision, customization, and efficiency.

**Materials & Methods:** This narrative review explores the integration of 3D printing in prosthodontics, highlighting methodologies, clinical outcomes, and future research directions. Relevant literature from 2010–2023 was analyzed to assess the impact of additive manufacturing on prosthetic design, workflow, and patient-centered care.

**Results:** 3D printing facilitates the fabrication of highly precise and customized prostheses, improves efficiency, enhances aesthetic outcomes, and allows patient-specific solutions. Integration with digital workflows streamlines treatment processes, while material advancements support functional longevity.

**Conclusion:** The adoption of 3D printing transforms the role of prosthodontists from manual artisans to technological innovators, marking a shift toward patient-centered, digitally integrated prosthetic care.

**Keywords:** 3D Printing, Additive Manufacturing, Prosthodontics, Digital Workflow, Patient-Specific Implants

## **Introduction**

Synonyms: 3D printing, rapid prototyping, stereolithography, architectural modeling or additive manufacturing.

The advent of 3D printing has bombarded Prosthodontics into a new era, liberating practitioners from the constraints of manual labour. This paper asserts that Prosthodontists are no longer mere labourers; they are pioneers embracing 3D printing to redefine their profession. In the traditional realm of prosthodontics, practitioners have long been regarded as skilled artisans, meticulously crafting dental prosthesis with manual dexterity and expertise. Their role primarily involved the laborious process of handcrafting dental restorations, often time-consuming and reliant on subjective artistic interpretation. However, the landscape of prosthodontics is undergoing a profound transformation, propelled by rapid technological advancements. In recent years, the integration of cutting-edge technologies, notably 3D printing, has reshaped the role of Prosthodontists. This shift goes beyond mere mechanization; it represents a paradigmatic leap into a realm where precision meets efficiency, and the prosthodontist evolves from a labourer into a technologically adept innovator.

## **Significance of 3D printing in the field of Prosthodontics**

- Customization

- Rapid Prototyping
- Patient-Specific Implants
- Digital Workflow Integration
- Material Advancements
- Prosthesis Design
- Clinical Outcomes

The technology aids in the construction of three-dimensional (3D) structures with complex geometries with ease that can be difficult to fabricate by other methods.<sup>1</sup> It is majorly an expression of additive or subtractive technology where the information of an object is obtained in all layers through various digital slicing and physically reproduced by layers through an automated process from the information obtained

There are two main digital fabrication processes for removable dental prostheses; the subtractive and the additive.<sup>2</sup> With the subtractive method, the prosthesis e.g., denture base is milled from a pre polymerized resin blank. Depending on the system, prefabricated or milled denture teeth are subsequently bonded on the base. The main disadvantage of the subtractive technique is the waste, as a large portion of the blank remains unused and is discarded during this process. Another limitation is the monochromatic and unesthetic teeth. Additive manufacturing (AM), also known as 3-dimensional (3D) printing or rapid prototyping (RP), encompasses techniques that fabricate objects layer by layer.

Table 1: Comparison of Subtractive and Additive Manufacturing.<sup>3</sup>

System	CAD/CAM	3D printing
Method of manufacturing	Subtractive manufacturing	Additive manufacturing
Materials	Metals, ceramics and resins	Metals, ceramics, resins, and waxes
Material waste	Wastes large quantities of denture base material	Wasting materials are low
Accuracy	Limited capacity to shape complex details such as undercuts	Can build complex geometries and precise details
Cost	High cost	Less cost
Strength	Higher strength	Lower strength
Model size	Small and moderate workpieces	Small, moderate and large workpieces

**Methodologies employed in integrating 3D printing into prosthodontic practices.<sup>4</sup>**

1. Acquisition of data for 3D Printing by
  - a) Physical method
  - b) Digital method (DICOM file- Digital imaging and communication in medicine)
2. Creating design in tessellation- STL file
3. Transfer of STL file to 3D printer and preparing the model for printing (Structures are designed in software)
4. 3D printing- Material laid down layer by layer
5. Post processing modification
  - a) Removal of support of model
  - b) Sand blasting/ Jet washing/ Grinding/ Infiltration
  - c) Heat treatment

Integration of 3D printing requires a systematic approach. Prosthodontists typically employ intraoral scanning to capture patient anatomy, followed by digital

design using CAD software. The designed prosthesis is then fabricated layer by layer using additive manufacturing techniques. Material selection depends on

clinical requirements, including biocompatibility, mechanical strength, and aesthetic considerations. Post-processing may include cleaning, curing, or surface finishing to optimize function and appearance. Adhering to these methodologies ensures accurate, patient-centred, and reproducible prosthetic outcomes.

### **Outcomes of Utilizing 3D Printing in Prosthodontic Procedures:**

- **Precision and Customization:** 3D printing enables the creation of highly precise and customized dental prostheses, ensuring an accurate fit tailored to the individual patient. This enhances overall comfort and functionality.
- **Efficiency and Time Savings:** The efficiency of 3D printing accelerates the production process, significantly reducing the time required for crafting prosthetics compared to traditional methods. This quick turnaround is crucial for timely patient intervention and restoration.
- **Versatility in Design:** The versatility of 3D printing materials allows for the fabrication of complex structures with intricate details. This opens up new possibilities for designing prostheses that closely mimic natural teeth in both form and function.
- **Improved Patient Comfort:** Lightweight and biocompatible materials used in 3D printing contribute to enhanced patient comfort. The reduction in weight minimizes discomfort and facilitates easier adaptation for the patient.
- **Patient-Specific Solutions:** 3D printing allows for the creation of patient-specific implants and prostheses,

addressing unique anatomical challenges with tailored solutions. This personalized approach contributes to improved clinical outcomes.

- **Aesthetic Enhancements:** Advanced 3D printing techniques enable the recreation of intricate surface textures, contributing to aesthetic improvements in dental prostheses. This attention to detail enhances the natural appearance of the restorations.
- **Streamlined Digital Workflow:** The integration of 3D printing into the digital workflow streamlines prosthodontic procedures, offering seamless collaboration between digital design and physical realization. This improves overall workflow efficiency.
- **Long-Term Viability:** Long-term clinical studies demonstrate the durability and functional efficacy of 3D-printed prostheses, contributing to positive patient outcomes and long-lasting restorations.

### **Discussion**

#### **Implications of 3D Printing on the Role of Prosthodontists**

3D printing is reshaping the role of prosthodontists, requiring a dynamic blend of traditional expertise and modern technological proficiency. The implications extend beyond the technical aspects to encompass patient engagement, collaboration, and a commitment to ongoing learning and innovation.

### Traditional Methods vs. 3D Printing in Prosthodontics:

#### Traditional Methods

Table 2: pros and cons of traditional methods in prosthodontics

Criteria	Pros	Cons
Craftsmanship	Rooted in artisanal craftsmanship, allowing for a hands-on, artistic approach.	Time-consuming, with the potential for variations in precision
Manual Labor	Skillful manipulation of materials by prosthodontists	Labor-intensive, potentially leading to physical strain and longer turnaround times.
Material Limitations	Established materials with a long history of use.	Limited in terms of customization and may lack some of the advanced properties offered by newer materials.
Standardization	Well-established protocols and techniques.	Limited adaptability to unique patient cases, potentially resulting in less precise fits.
Aesthetics	Artistic interpretation allows for personalized aesthetics.	Achieving consistent aesthetics may be challenging, especially for complex designs.

#### 3D Printing

Table 3: pros and cons of 3D printing in prosthodontics

Criteria	Pro	Con
Digital Precision	Enables precise digital design and customization.	Dependent on the accuracy of digital impressions and modelling
Efficiency	Rapid prototyping and reduced production times.	Initial setup costs and a learning curve may hinder immediate efficiency gains.
Material Versatility	Diverse range of 3D printing materials with varying properties.	Material selection may be limited compared to the extensive range available in traditional methods.
Personalization	Highly customizable, allowing for patient-specific solutions.	Requires proficiency in digital design and may lack the subjective touch of traditional craftsmanship.
Standardization Challenges	Potential for standardization through digital protocols.	Lack of universally accepted standards can lead to variability.
Workflow Integration	Seamless integration into digital workflows.	Dependence on technology may pose challenges in case of technical issues.

#### Conclusion

Summary of Findings and Significance:

- Precision and Customization

- Efficiency and Time Savings
- Versatility in Design
- Improved Patient Comfort

- Patient-Specific Solutions
- Aesthetic Enhancements
- Streamlined Digital Workflow
- Long-Term Viability

**Embracing 3D printing transforms prosthodontists to innovators.<sup>5</sup>**

Table 4: innovations of the traditional ways of prosthodontics in various fields

Fields	Traditional ways	Innovations
Digital Expertise	Manual craftsmanship	digital design proficiency
Customization Process	replicating standard prostheses	crafting highly customized solutions.
Efficiency Champions	time-intensive handcrafting	streamlined and rapid 3D printing processes
Aesthetic Visionaries	subjective artistic interpretation	advanced 3D printing for intricate designs
Patient-Centric Approach	procedural focus	actively involving patients in the design process
Continuous Learning Advocates	established techniques	dynamic learning environment
Pioneers of Technological Integration	traditional methods	seamlessly integrating 3D printing into digital workflows

Embracing 3D printing elevates prosthodontists from being skilled labourers to innovative leaders, marking a paradigm shift that not only enhances their technical capabilities but also positions them at the forefront of technological advancement in the field of dental restoration.

**Future Directions**

Future research should focus on:

1. Development of new 3D printing materials with enhanced mechanical and aesthetic properties.
2. Establishment of standardized protocols for clinical applications.
3. Long-term evaluation of clinical outcomes and durability of 3D-printed prostheses.
4. Advances in intraoral scanning and design software to improve accuracy and efficiency.
5. Exploration of patient involvement in the design process.
6. Cost-effectiveness analyses to support broader adoption.

7. Innovations in whole-tooth regeneration, including bioengineered tooth constructs using composite scaffolds.<sup>6-9</sup>

These directions aim to integrate 3D printing more fully into clinical prosthodontics and expand its role in innovative dental restoration.

**References**

1. Katkar RA, Taft RM, Grant GT. 3D volume rendering and 3D printing (additive manufacturing). Dent Clin North Am 2018;62:393-402.
2. Schweiger J, Stumbaum J, Edelhoff D, Guth JF. Systematics and concepts for the digital production of complete dentures: risks and opportunities. Int J Comput Dent. 2018;21(1):41-56.
3. Cristache C M, Totu E E, Iorgulescu G et al. Eighteen months follow-up with patient-centred outcomes assessment of complete dentures manufactured using a hybrid nanocomposite and additive CAD/CAM protocol. J Clin Med 2020; 9: 324.

4. Dawood, A., Marti, B. M., Sauret-Jackson, V., & Darwood, A. (2015). 3D printing in dentistry. *British dental journal*, 219(11), 521-529.
5. Goodacre BJ, Goodacre CJ, Baba NZ, Kattadiyil MT. Comparison of denture base adaptation between CAD–CAM and conventional fabrication techniques. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2016;116(2):249–56.
6. Kim K, Lee CH, Kim BK, Mao JJ. 2010. Anatomically shaped tooth and periodontal regeneration by cell homing. *J Dent Res*. 89(8):842–847.
7. Nakao K, Morita R, Saji Y, Ishida K, Tomita Y, Ogawa M, Saitoh M, Tomooka Y, Tsuji T. 2007. The development of a bioengineered organ germ method. *Nat Methods*. 4(3):227–230.
8. Ikeda E, Morita R, Nakao K, Ishida K, Nakamura T, Takano-Yamamoto T, Ogawa M, Mizuno M, Kasugai S, Tsuji T. 2009. Fully functional bioengineered tooth replacement as an organ replacement therapy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 106(32):13475–13480.
9. Zhang W, Ahluwalia IP, Yelick PC. 2010. Three dimensional dental epithelial-mesenchymal constructs of predetermined size and shape for tooth regeneration. *Biomaterials*. 31(31):7995–8003.