

Management and Removal of a Fractured Osseointegrated Implant: A Case Report

¹Dr. Akanksha U. Mukwane, Government Dental College and Hospital, Chhatrapati Sambhajanagar (Aurangabad), Maharashtra

²Dr. Rashmi S. Dadmal, Government Dental College and Hospital, Chhatrapati Sambhajanagar (Aurangabad), Maharashtra

³Dr. Kishor M. Mahale, Government Dental College and Hospital, Chhatrapati Sambhajanagar (Aurangabad), Maharashtra

⁴Dr. Smita A. Khalikar, Government Dental College and Hospital, Chhatrapati Sambhajanagar (Aurangabad), Maharashtra

⁵Dr. Maya S. Indurkar, Government Dental College and Hospital, Chhatrapati Sambhajanagar (Aurangabad), Maharashtra

⁶Dr. C. D. Dhalkari, Government Dental College and Hospital, Chhatrapati Sambhajanagar (Aurangabad), Maharashtra

⁷Dr. Ashokkumar Bhansali, Government Dental College and Hospital, Chhatrapati Sambhajanagar (Aurangabad), Maharashtra

Corresponding Author: Dr. Akanksha U. Mukwane, Government Dental College and Hospital, Chhatrapati Sambhajanagar (Aurangabad), Maharashtra

Citation of this Article: Dr. Akanksha U. Mukwane, Dr. Rashmi S. Dadmal, Dr. Kishor M. Mahale, Dr. Smita A. Khalikar, Dr. Maya S. Indurkar, Dr. C. D. Dhalkari, Dr. Ashokkumar Bhansali, “Management and Removal of a Fractured Osseointegrated Implant: A Case Report”, IJDSIR- February – 2025, Volume – 8, Issue – 1, P. No. 126 – 130.

Copyright: © 2025, Dr. Akanksha U. Mukwane, et al. This is an open access journal and article distributed under the terms of the creative common’s attribution non-commercial License. Which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given, and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Type of Publication: Case Report

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

Implant fractures, though rare, pose significant challenges for clinicians, particularly when they occur in osseointegrated implants. This case report details the clinical management and removal of a fractured osseointegrated dental implant in a patient presenting with functional concerns. The fracture was detected through clinical examination and radiographic evaluation, revealing a complete structural failure of the implant body. A minimally invasive surgical approach

was employed to remove the fractured implant while preserving the surrounding bone for future rehabilitation.

Keywords: implant removal, osseointegration, implant fracture, minimally invasive surgery.

Introduction

Dental implants are widely recognized for their durability and high success rates in replacing missing teeth¹. However, despite their widespread success, complications such as implant fractures can occur, though they are relatively uncommon³. Implant fractures, reported in approximately 1-2% of cases,

present significant clinical challenges⁵. Common causes include biomechanical overload, material fatigue, and improper occlusal forces. Biomechanical overload often arises from improper occlusion, parafunctional habits like bruxism, or inadequate force distribution in multi-implant restorations⁴. In addition, occlusal forces can create stress on implants, which lack the shock-absorbing periodontal ligament of natural teeth, making them more susceptible to mechanical failure⁶. Material fatigue, especially in older implants or those with manufacturing defects, also contributes to implant fractures⁷.

When an implant is osseointegrated, its bond with the surrounding bone complicates removal in the event of a fracture⁸. Preserving the integrity of the surrounding bone is critical for future treatment. The removal of a fractured osseointegrated implant requires a precise, minimally invasive approach to avoid excessive trauma to the bone, which could complicate future restorative procedures⁹. Early detection through clinical and radiographic examination is crucial. This case report highlights the management and removal of a fractured osseointegrated implant, emphasizing the use of a trephine bur for a controlled, minimally invasive extraction¹⁰. By preserving the alveolar bone, the site remains viable for future prosthetic rehabilitation, demonstrating the importance of early intervention and a multidisciplinary approach in implant failure management¹¹.

Case Report

A 66-year-old male presented to the department of Prosthodontics, Government Dental College and Hospital, Chh. Sambhajinagar with the chief complaint of discomfort in a previously placed dental implant in the region of the left mandibular first molar. The implant had been placed 3 years earlier. The patient had no

significant medical history that could compromise healing, and oral hygiene was well-maintained.

Upon clinical examination, the implant site revealed a firm and fractured implant of which a sharp projection of the fractured collar/neck of the implant was seen clinically (Fig.1). There was no significant inflammation or infection of the peri-implant tissues. A panoramic radiograph and a cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) scan were taken to assess the condition of the implant and the surrounding bone (Fig 2)

Additionally, the patient exhibited signs of generalized attrition, suggesting a history of bruxism.



Figure 1: Clinical picture of fractured implant

Radiographic Findings: The radiographic images revealed a diagonally fractured osseointegrated implant neck/collar at the crestal bone level. There was slight bone loss around the implant in its coronal 1/3rd and rest of the bone appeared to be healthy and intact. (Fig. 2)



Figure 2: OPG of fractured implant with Left mandibular first molar

Diagnosis: The diagnosis was a fractured osseointegrated dental implant, likely due to a combination of biomechanical overload and material

fatigue. The decision was made to remove the fractured implant and plan for future rehabilitation after healing.

Treatment Plan: The treatment plan involved the following steps:

1. Removal of the fractured implant: A trephine bur was chosen for the removal to minimize bone loss and preserve the surrounding tissue for future implant placement.
2. Site preservation: Careful handling of the implant site to prevent trauma and maintain the bone by grafting procedure.

Surgical Procedure

1. The patient was administered local anesthesia, and the surgical field was prepared under sterile conditions.
2. Crestal incision was given and a full thickness mucoperiosteal flap was raised to gain access to the fractured implant.(Fig. 3)
3. A trephine bur, appropriately sized to match the implant's diameter, was selected.
4. The bur was attached to the implant hand piece (20:1) for the removal procedure.
5. The Trephine bur was positioned over the implant and rotated gently, the implant was carefully extracted using a controlled "dancing" motion with the bur to minimize trauma to the surrounding bone.
6. Once the implant was sufficiently loosened, it was carefully extracted without fracturing the surrounding bone, to excise the implant and surrounding osseointegrated bone.
7. The socket was thoroughly irrigated with 2% Betadine-saline solution to clear debris and the integrity of the remaining bone was inspected.
8. Then the socket was grafted using a combination of allograft, xenograft, and a gel sponge, which served as a scaffold for bone regeneration.

9. A chorion membrane was placed over the graft material to promote guided tissue regeneration.
10. 2 implants were placed in 35 and 37 regions for future rehabilitation of the edentulous space by implant supported.
11. Suturing was done using 4-0 silk suturing, simple interrupted sutures were given.



Figure 3: Incision & Reflection, trephine bur placed, implant removal done.

Post-operative Management: The patient was prescribed antibiotics and analgesics to prevent infection and manage post-operative discomfort. The patient was advised on maintaining oral hygiene and was scheduled for follow-up visits.

Outcome and Follow-up: The patient returned for follow-up at 1 week and 1 month post-surgery. Healing was uneventful, and there were no signs of infection or bone loss at the implant site. The patient was planned for a second-stage implant placement after a 6-month healing period.

Discussion

Implant fractures, though rare, can pose significant clinical challenges when they do occur¹. Several factors contribute to these fractures, including biomechanical overload, excessive occlusal forces, and material fatigue². Biomechanical overload often results from improper occlusion or imbalanced force distribution, especially in patients with habits like bruxism, which increase the stress on the implant components¹⁴. Over time, this constant overload can lead to structural

fatigue, weakening the implant material and causing it to fracture.

The fracture of the dental implant in present case can be attributed to a history of bruxism, which involves involuntary grinding or clenching of teeth, often occurring during sleep¹⁵. Bruxism exerts excessive and repetitive forces on dental implants, surpassing the normal chewing pressures they are designed to withstand. These intense forces can lead to various complications, including the fracture of the implant body, loosening of the abutment or crown, and bone loss around the implant¹⁷. Over time, cyclic loading and material fatigue may weaken the implant, causing it to fail¹³. In patients with bruxism, nightguards or splints are commonly recommended to protect both natural teeth and implants from the damaging effects of grinding¹⁶. Additionally, addressing the underlying causes of bruxism, such as stress, and using stronger materials or customized implant designs can help prevent fractures³. Regular monitoring of the implant and surrounding structures is crucial for early detection of issues like bone resorption or implant loosening, ensuring effective long-term management of the implant⁴.

In this case, the fracture occurred at the crestal bone level, complicating the removal process due to the implant's successful osseointegration with the surrounding bone⁵. Osseointegration, while crucial for the implant's stability, presents a challenge during removal because of the strong bond between the implant and bone tissue⁶. This necessitates a careful approach to extraction to avoid damaging the surrounding bone.

To facilitate the removal of the fractured osseointegrated implant, a trephine bur was employed, allowing for a precise and controlled extraction process⁷. The trephine bur's dancing motion enabled the removal of the implant while preserving the surrounding bone structure, which

is critical for future prosthetic rehabilitation⁸. Preservation of the bone during removal is essential not only for the success of future re-implantation but also for maintaining the patient's bone architecture and aesthetics⁹. The management of fractured osseointegrated implants requires meticulous planning to ensure minimal trauma to the surrounding tissues¹⁰. A comprehensive approach involves considering factors such as the implant's design, the patient's specific occlusal forces, and the material properties of the implant to minimize the risk of future fractures¹¹. Early diagnosis, combined with a well-planned surgical approach, helps preserve the bone and optimize outcomes for re-implantation or alternative restorative treatments¹².

Conclusion

This case highlights the successful management and removal of a fractured osseointegrated implant using a trephine bur. The preservation of the surrounding bone allows for future rehabilitation, emphasizing the importance of minimally invasive techniques in implant removal. Early diagnosis and appropriate surgical management are crucial for achieving favorable outcomes in similar cases.

References

1. Esposito M, Hirsch JM, Lekholm U, Thomsen P. Biological factors contributing to failures of osseointegrated oral implants (II). Etiopathogenesis. Eur J Oral Sci. 1998;106(3):721-764.
2. Chrcanovic BR, Albrektsson T, Wennerberg A. Reasons for failures of oral implants. J Oral Rehabil. 2014;41(6):443-476.
3. Pjetursson BE, Heimisdottir K. Dental implants - are they better than natural teeth? Eur J Oral Sci. 2018;126(S1):81-87.

4. Branemark PI, Adell R, Breine U, Hansson BO, Lindström J, Ohlsson A. Intra-osseous anchorage of dental prostheses. I. Experimental studies. *Scand J Plast Reconstr Surg* 1969;3(2):81-100.
5. Adell R, Lekholm U, Rockler B, et al. A 15-year study of osseointegrated implants in the treatment of the edentulous jaw. *Int J Oral Surg*. 1981; 10:387–416.
6. Albrektsson, T., Brånemark, P.-I., Hansson, H.-A., & Lindström, J. (1981). Osseointegrated Titanium Implants: Requirements for Ensuring a Long-Lasting, Direct Bone-to-Implant Anchorage in Man. *Acta Orthopaedica Scandinavica*, 52(2), 155.
7. Zarb GA, Albrektsson T. Osseointegration - a requirement for the periodontal ligament? *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent*. 1991; 11:88–91
8. Meredith N, Alleyne D, Cawley P. Quantitative determination of the stability of the implant-tissue interface using resonance frequency analysis. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1996; 7: 261–267.
9. Mistry G, Shetty O, Shetty S, Singh RD. Measuring implant stability: A review of different methods. *Journal of Dental Implants*. 2014 Jul 1;4(2):165-9.
10. Min-Young Kim UV Photofunctionalization Effect on Bone Graft in Critical One-Wall Defect around Implant: A Pilot Study in Beagle Dogs, *BioMed Research International*, vol. 2016, 9 pages, 2016.
11. Howashi M, Tsukiyama Y, Ayukawa Y, Isoda-Akizuki K, Kihara M, Imai Y, Sogo M, Koyano K. Relationship between the CT value and cortical bone thickness at implant recipient sites and primary implant stability with comparison of different implant types. *Clinical implant dentistry and related research*. 2016 Feb;18(1):107-16.
12. Kehm P, Meyer M. Bone grafting techniques in periodontal regeneration. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2018;45(12):1450-1460.
13. Nevins M, Camelo M. Periodontal regeneration using bone grafts and guided tissue regeneration. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent*. 2006;26(6):561-567.
14. Schroeder HE, Listgarten MA. The role of bone grafts in periodontal therapy. *Periodontol* 2000. 1997;13:1-10.
15. Sanz M, Martínez-Álvarez S. Bone grafting materials in periodontal surgery. *Periodontol* 2000. 2015;68(1):167-180.
16. Haghighat K, Sadr A. The role of bone grafting in periodontal and implant surgery. *J Oral Implantol*. 2018;44(4):345-352.
17. Bowers GM, Lynch SE. Guided tissue regeneration and bone grafting in periodontal therapy. *Dent Clin North Am*. 1994;38(3):503-520.